

Report prepared by the Commission's Division of Planning, Assessment and Performance Funding 1333 Main Street, Suite 200, Columbia, SC 29201, (803) 737-2260, www.che.sc.gov

University of South Carolina Sumter

Sector: Two-Year Institutions - Branches of the University of SC

C. Leslie Carpenter, Dean 200 Miller Road Sumter, SC 29150-2498 (803) 775-USCS (775-8727) www.uscsumter.edu

Founded in 1966



2002-03 Performance Year Score Exceeds Standards

88% 2.65 of 3.00 Maximum

See "Interpreting Overall Score" at bottom of page

At-A-Glance (Fall 2002 data unless noted otherwise)

For links to mi	ission and webpage, sc.gov and	Enrollment Headcount includes full & part-time students		9 0% of headcount Undergraduate % of headcount from SC at entry	Full-Time Faculty	40 includes, as of Nov. 1, those with academic rank & specific assignment of instruction, research, public service, or librarian. (IPEDS Fall Staff Survey)
select "Perfor	mance Funding"	Full-Time		% of headcount Minority 1 (52% of headcount)	Tuition Academic Year 2002-03	\$3,080 In-State, Full-Time Student \$7,328 Out-of-State, Full-Time Student (includes UG required tuition and fees,
Awarded FY 2001-02	161 Associates	SAT Average	956	(1st-time entering freshmen. Includes converted ACT scores.)	Financial Dollars In Millions FY 2000-01	\$8.8 Total Revenue, excluding auxiliary \$8.4 Total Educ & General Expenditures and Transfers, excluding auxiliary (IPEDS Finance Survey)

Performance Score Summary

Each indicator or indicator subpart is scored using a 3-point scale. In some cases, institutions may qualify for an additional 0.5 for achieving a certain level of improvement over past performance. In 2002, systemic scoring procedure revisions led to fewer indicators contributing to the overall score. Of the 37 indicators used in past years, all are still measured - some assured through institutional policy, some measured through existing scored indicators, and some monitored but not scored. For more detailed explanation of these changes consult Performance Funding Workbook, November 2002 at www.che.sc.gov - select Performance Funding and then Performance Funding Workbook.

Total Scored Indicators (See the following pages for details by indicator)	11 Indicators
Exceeded Standards (or received scores of 3) on	5 Indicators
Achieved Standards (or received scores of 2.00-2.99) on	2 Indicators
Did Not Achieve Standards (or received scores of 1.00-1.99) on	1 Indicators
Achieved Compliance (or received scores of "Complied") on	2 Indicators
1 indicator is deferred from measurement in 2002-03 (See attached detail for ex	planation)

Interpreting Overall Score

Comparing the average score on applicable indicators to the maximum 3.00 possible produces the percentage score shown in the upper right hand corner. Institutions within the same sector whose percentage is in the same range as shown below are considered to be performing at similar levels.

Scale for Overall Scoring Category

Substantially Exceeds	95% to	100%	or	2.85 to 3.00
Exceeds	87% to	94%	or	2.60 to 2.84
Achieves	67% to	86%	or	2.00 to 2.59
Does Not Achieve	48% to	66%	or	1.45 to 1.99
Substantially Does Not Achieve	33% to	47%	or	1.00 to 1.44

"Performance Funding" in SC began with Act 359 of 1996, effective July 1, 1996, requiring that the SC Commission on Higher Education (CHE) measure annually each public institution's performance in various areas and base allocation of state appropriated dollars on performance. Each year, CHE in cooperation with institutions and other stakeholders has worked to refine the system implemented in 1996 in an effort to ensure and improve the quality of SC's public colleges and universities so they will be globally competitive. Data and scores for indicators used to allocate FY 2003-04 dollars follow. Yearly revisions and differences across and within sectors make comparisons across performance years and institutions difficult. Two years ago, CHE improved the measurement system by strengthening the focus on indicators best reflective of each sector's mission and reducing redundancy among indicators. Using its experience, CHE worked with institutions and others in identifying the 13 or 14 indicators now being used in determining the performance score as those most related to institutional and sector missions. As noted below, indicators not scored are either monitored on a cycle or are measured through existing scored indicators. For a better understanding, please see CHE's website at www.che.sc.gov to access a detailed guide to the system and measurement (Performance Funding Workbook, November 2002) and additional data details by institution.

DATA and SCORING KEY: Below are details for each indicator measured in Performance Year 2002-03, including: the measurement timeframe for this year's performance, historical data, current year's data, the standard used in judging performance, indicator subpart scores, and the single indicator score. Since July 2000, CHE has set standards for similar institutions based on national, regional or state data; data from peer institutions or past institutional performance. For most indicators, performance is judged using a 3-point scale and comparing it to a standard that is expressed as a range. A score of "2" is awarded if an institution is at or within the range. Performance outside the range in the desired direction merits a "3" or *Exceeds*, while performance outside the range in the undesired direction receives a "1." Additionally, 0.5 points are awarded to scores of 1 or 2 for some indicators if performance an identified level of improvement over past performance. Performance on other indicators is judged by determining institutional compliance with policies or practices. Compliance is expected, and a score of 1 indicates non-compliance. In limited cases, CHE may award scores based on analysis of an institutional appeal requesting special consideration. To determine overall performance as summarized on page 1 and at the end of this report: scores displayed for each indicator in the far right or last column are averaged; the average places the institution in 1 of 5 performance categories; and funding is allocated based on the category, not the individual score or average.

Report for: University of South Carolina Sumter Two-Year Institutions - Branches of the University of SC Sector Measures Presented by Critical Success Factor 2002-03 Standard 2002-03 Score <3: Earn 0.5 for Measure Institution's Performance **Performance Score Timeframe** "2" if at/within range Improvement if Indicator (reference #/letter at far left and title) Indicator Subpart (reference #/letter and descriptive title) 3 Yrs 2 Yrs 1 Yr "1" if <# "3" if ># Factor > or = to # This Year This Year Subpart Indicator if applicable Prior Prior **Prior** shown shown Applied shown 1. MISSION FOCUS Adoption of a Strategic Plan to Support the Mission **1D/E** Statement and Attainment of Goals of the Strategic Plan FY 2001-02 See performance score at right. Measure and goals vary by Institution. Contact CHE for details. 3.00 (revised indicator combining 1D & 1E as of Year 6)

Critical Success Factor 1, Scored Indicator Notes: Institution achieved compliance on 1B, Curricula Offered to Achieve Mission, and 1C, Approval of a Mission Statement. 1D&E is defined uniquely for each institution based on an institutional goal and annual targets to be achieved over 3 years.

Status of other indicators: Indicator 1A, Expenditure of Funds to Achieve Institutional Mission, is measured through Indicator 5A, Ratio of Administrative Costs to Academic Costs.

2. (2. QUALITY OF FACULTY												
2A	Academic and Other Credentials of Professors and Instructors, as defined for regional campuses	Fall 2002			75.0%	82.1%	60%	to	74%	3% of prior 3-yr avg	N/A score=3		3.00
20	Compensation of Faculty (average all ranks)	Fall 2002	\$46,565	\$48,206	\$48,398	\$48,163	\$35,687	to	\$45,156	1% of prior year	N/A score=3		3.00

Critical Success Factor 2, Scored Indicator Notes: 2A for regional campuses measures the percent of all full-time faculty, including instructors, who have terminal degrees as defined by SACS in their primary teaching area. Nursing faculty are excluded for 5 years beginning with Fall 2001 data. Exceptions to terminal degrees as defined by SACS are included for faculty holding the first professional degrees including the JD for those teaching law or the MD, DMD, or PharmD for those teaching in colleges of medicine, dentistry or pharmacy. 2D measures average faculty at all ranks.

Status of other indicators: Indicators 2B, Performance Review System for Faculty to Include Student and Peer Evaluations, and 2C, Post-tenure Review System for Tenured Faculty, involve institutional policies that have been implemented: CHE is monitoring continued compliance on a 3-year cycle beginning in 2004. Indicator 2E, Availability of Faculty to Students Outside the Classroom, and Indicator 2F, Community and Public Service Activities of Faculty For Which No Extra Compensation is Paid, are measured through Indicator 2B.

USCSumter pp2to4 Yr7 FINAL, Approved June 5, 2003

Note Below for 5A

Deferred

Report for: University of South Carolina Sumter Two-Year Institutions - Branches of the University											
Measures Presented by Critical Success Factor Indicator (reference #/letter at far left and title)	Measure Institution's Performance				-	Standard thin range		Earn 0.5 for ement if	2002-03 Performance Score		
Indicator Subpart (reference #/letter and descriptive title) if applicable	This Year	3 Yrs Prior	2 Yrs Prior	1 Yr Prior	This Year	"1" if <# shown			Factor > or = to # Applied shown		Indicator
3. CLASSROOM QUALITY											
3D Accreditation of Degree-Granting Programs	as of Apr 2003	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N	/A	١	I/A		N/A

Critical Success Factor 3 Scored Indicator Notes: 3D measures the number of programs in CHE's *Inventory of Academic Degree Programs* accredited by a recognized accrediting agency as a percent of the total number of programs in the Inventory for which accreditation is available. USC Lancaster is the only regional campus in this sector with programs applicable for this indicator. 3E, *Institutional Emphasis on Quality Teacher Education and Reform*, does not apply to this sector.

Status of other indicators: Indicators 3A, Class Size and Student/Teacher Ratios, and 3B, Number of Credit Hours Taught by Faculty, will be monitored by the Commission on a 3-year cycle beginning in 2006. Indicator 3C, Ratio of Full-Time Faculty as Compared to Other Full-Time Employees, is measured through Indicator 5A, Ratio of Administrative Costs to Academic Costs.

4. INSTITUTIONAL COOPERATION & COLLABORATION 4A/B Cooperation and Collaboration, Measure for regional campuses Acad Year 2001-02 85.0% 85.0% to 95.0% N/A 2.00

Critical Success Factor 4, Scored Indicator Notes: Measure designed in cooperation with each sector to focus on an area of cooperation and collaboration for the sector. The regional campus sector indicator focuses on strengthening the USC Regional Campuses through development and/or enhancement/maintenance/repositioning of organized community outreach efforts with private and public organizations. The regional campus measure, monitored over a four-year period including last year, considers the percentage of best practice criteria that are utilized by each identified effort. For details see pages II.99-104 of the Performance Funding Workbook, Nov 2002.

5. ADMINISTRATIVE EFFICIENCY 5A Percentage of Administrative Costs to Academic Costs FY 2001-02 21.0% 21.2% 23.0% Deferred Measurement Deferred, See 3% of prior deferred

Critical Success Factor 5, Scored Indicator Notes: 5A measures the ratio of administrative costs to academic costs where administrative costs are expenditures in instruction research, academic support, and scholarship/fellowship categories, and academic costs are expenditures in the institutional support category. For the 4-year colleges and universities sector, unrestricted funds only are included and funds transfers are excluded. For 5A scoring, a downward performance trend is expected. In Year 7, 5A measurement is deferred due to changes in federal reporting of financial data that affects all public higher education institutions. The measure is under revision for future years.

Status of other indicators: Indicators 5B, Use of Best Management Practices; 5C, Elimination of Unjustified Duplication Of and Waste In Administrative and Academic Programs; and 5D, Amount of General Overhead Costs. are measured through Indicator 5A.

6. ENTRANCE REQUIREMENTS SAT and ACT Scores of Student Body and High School Class Standing, GPA and Activities of Student Body Fall 2002 61.7% 63.9% 64.5% 63.1% 20.0% to 49.9% 5% of prior 3-yr avg score=3 3.00

Critical Success Factor 6, Scored Indicator Notes: 6A combined with 6B measures the percent of first-time entering freshmen who take the SAT or ACT or who have reported a high school grade point average or high school class standing who meet or exceed CHE-approved target score on such tests, defined as 1000 or higher on the SAT, 21 or higher on the ACT, an overall high school grade point average of 3.0 or higher on a 4.0 scale, or within the top 30% of the senior-year class.

Status of other indicators: Indicators 6C, Post-Secondary Nonacademic Achievement of Student Body, and 6D, Priority on Enrolling In-State Students, are monitored by the Commission on a 3-year cycle beginning in 2005.

7. G	RADUATES' ACHIEVEMENTS									_		_	
7A	Graduation Rate: "Success rate" defined using 1st-time, full-time, degree-seeking student graduation within 150% of normal program time with an allowance for transfers-out and continued enrollment.	1999 cohort	54.6%*	55.9%*	65.0%*	65.8%	50.0%	to	65.0%	3% of prior 3-yr avg	N/A score=3		3.00
7D	Scores of Graduates on Post-Undergraduate Professional, Graduate or Employment-Related Examinations and Certification Tests	Apr 1, 2001 - Mar 31, 2002	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	to	N/A	3% of prior 3-yr avg	N/A		N/A

USCSumter pp2to4 Yr7 FINAL, Approved June 5, 2003

Report for: University of South Carolina Sumter Two-Year Institutions - Branches of the University of SC Sector Measures Presented by Critical Success Factor 2002-03 Standard 2002-03 Score <3: Earn 0.5 for Measure Institution's Performance **Timeframe** "2" if at/within range Improvement if **Performance Score** Indicator (reference #/letter at far left and title) Indicator Subpart (reference #/letter and descriptive title) "1" if <# "3" if ># 3 Yrs 2 Yrs 1 Yr **Factor** > or = to # This Year This Year Subpart Indicator if applicable **Prior** Prior Prior shown shown Applied shown 3% of prior Number of Graduates Who Continued Their Education 1996 cohort 30.5%* 22.5%* 29.3%* 33.7% 25.0% 40.0% 28.3% 2.50 3-vr ava

Critical Success Factor 7, Scored Indicator Notes: 7A is a new measure reflective of the mission of 2-year institutions. It measures the percent of students in a cohort of first-time, full-time degree-seeking students that graduate in 150% of program time, transfer-out within three years or continue to be enrolled three years later. Data are calculated using CHEMIS information on in-state students and supplemented with additional data on out-of-state transfers if available from the institution. Data for out-of-state transfers is not available for cohorts prior to 1999. 7D measures the percent of total students taking certification examinations who pass the examination. Only USC Lancaster in this sector currently has a program yielding exam results for this indicator. For all exams, except teacher certification exams, first-time test takers only are considered. Exams vary across institutions due to differences in programs. For institutions with teacher education programs, the PRAXIS PLT scores are excluded. Middle school pedagogy examination (PLT 5-9) scores continue to be excluded to allow for development/adoption of curricula to support this new certification area. For those with dental assisting programs, DANB exam scoresare excluded. Details by exam are available on-line in CHE's Institutional Effectiveness publication "A Closer Look at Public Higher Education in SC." Jan 2003. 7E. Number of

Graduates Who Continued Their Education, is applicable to regional campuses only and measures the percent of the first-time, full-time, degree-seeking cohort that earned baccalaurate degrees 6 years later. Data are calculated using CHEMIS information for in-state institutions and supplemented by the institutions with out-of-state institutions if available. Data on out-of-state graduates are not available for cohorts prior to 1996. (*) These data do not include data on graduates(7E) or transfers(7A) to out-of-state or non-CHEMIS reporting institutions. 7B, Employment Rate for Graduates, and 7C, Employer Feedback on Graduates Who Were Employed or Not Employed, have been redefined and are not applicable to this sector.

Status of other indicators: Indicator 7F, Credit Hours Earned of Graduates, is monitored by CHE on a 3-year cycle beginning in 2006, and is applicable to senior institutions, except MUSC.

8.	8. USER-FRIENDLINESS OF THE INSTITUTION													
8C	Accessibility to the Institution of All Citizens of the State :													1.67
	1	% of the undergraduate SC citizens enrolled who are minority (headcount)	Fall 2002	27.0%	30.4%	29.8%	30.1%	32.0%	to	43.0%	8C1&2= 5% of prior	30.5%	1.00	
	2	annual retention rate of SC degree-seeking undergrads who are minority.	Fall 01 to 02	57.0%	57.0%	57.6%	53.9%	47.0%	to	57.0%	3-yr avg and 8C4=	60.1%	2.00	
	4	% teaching faculty, excluding graduate assistants, who are minority (headcount)	Fall 2002	13.3%	9.2%	11.1%	10.6%	10.0%	to	13.0%	3% of prior 3-yr avg	11.5%	2.00	

Critical Success Factor 8, Scored Indicator Notes: For 8C, total headcount is inclusive of all categories: minority, unknown race, white, and non-resident alien. Minority is defined as African American, American Indian/Alaskan Native, Asian/Pacific Islander, and Hispanic. Applicable for parts 1 & 2, SC citizens are SC residents for fee purposes plus those with approved non-resident exceptions including those eligible to pay in-state tuition including military, faculty/administration employees, full-time employees or retired persons and dependents of each exception category. For parts 3 & 4, the measure is not limited to SC citizens, and minority is defined consistently for parts 1-4. Part 3 of 8C that measures the percent of graduate students who are minority is not applicable to two-year institutions.

Status of other indicators: Indicator 8A, Transferability of Credits To and From the Institution, is monitored by the Commission on a 3-year cycle beginning in 2005. Indicator 8B, Continuing Education Programs for Graduates and Others, does not apply to this sector.

9. RESEARCH FUNDING

Critical Success Factor 9, Scored Indicator Notes: 9A. Financial Support for Reform in Teacher Education, and 9B, Amount of Public and Private Sector Grants, do not apply to this sector.

PERFORMANCE YEAR 2002-03 SCORING SUMMARY (OVERALL SCORE TO IMPACT FY 2003-04 ALLOCATION)

Based on scores in the above column at far right labeled "2002-03 Performance Score:"

11 Total Applicable Scored Indicators

who are minority (headcount)

Exceeded standards (scores of 3) on 5 scored indicators.

Achieved Standards (scores of 2.00 to 2.99) on 2 scored indicators.

Did Not Achieve Standards (scores of 1.00 to 1.99) on 1 scored indicators.

Achieved Compliance on 2 indicators, 1 indicator is deferred from scoring.

Average: 2.65 Average / 3.00 Max: 88%

Subtotal:

of indicators averaged

Category is: "Exceeds"

21.17

8

USCSumter pp2to4 Yr7 FINAL, Approved June 5, 2003